“**The subjects we learn in school provide the most effective tools with which to understand the world around us.”**

**Do you agree or disagree**?

1. **Outline** the subjects that are traditionally taught at **SCHOOL**
2. **Describe**  situations that people encounter that require specific tools [skills & knowledge], necessary to **UNDERSTAND THE WORLD AROUND US**
3. **Decide** if you **AGREE or DISAGREE** with the statement.
4. **Choose** 2-3 of the **aforementioned situations**. **Think** carefully about your experiences in class and on trail over the past 2 weeks [Education & World Around Us]:

Do the **subjects traditionally taught** at school provide you with tools [**skills & knowledge**] to help you understand the world you were being exposed to or do you learn better outside of the classroom?

* 1. **Think about what we discussed in class**: Art vs. Creativity / Wizard of Odds / Global Issues / Sociology / Bee Keeping / Socratic Questioning / Divergent Thinking / Multiple Intelligences / Time Management/ People Skills Workshop / Permablitz Workshop – **did any of those activities provide you with the tools to better understand the world around you?**
  2. **Think about your trail**: Asia / Literature / Human Geography / Bunjilaka / Walking the Birrarung – **did your trail provide you with the tools to better understand the world around you?**
  3. **Consider doing some additional research** – has there been any research done into the usefulness of traditional curriculum in schools vs. learning in non-traditional environments.

1. Remember to consider both sides of the argument - **traditional curriculum in schools vs. learning in non-traditional environments**
2. **After discussing some of these situations** – Make a decision about which equips you with more tools to help you understand the world and why. If you decide that we need both – provide a strong argument for both types of learning environments.